

Conowingo Pond Management Plan

Publication No. 242



June 2006

SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN COMMISSION



Paul O. Swartz, Executive Director

Denise M. Sheehan, N.Y. Commissioner
Kenneth P. Lynch, N.Y. Alternate
Scott J. Foti, N.Y. Alternate/Advisor

Kathleen A. McGinty, Pa. Commissioner
Cathy C. Curran Myers, Pa. Alternate
William A. Gast, Pa. Alternate/Advisor

Kendl P. Philbrick, Md. Commissioner
Dr. Robert M. Summers, Md. Alternate
Matthew G. Pajerowski, Md. Alternate/Advisor

Major General William T. Grisoli, U.S. Commissioner
Colonel Robert J. Davis, Jr., U.S. Alternate
Colonel Francis X. Kosich, U.S. Alternate
Daniel M. Bierly, U.S. Advisor

The Susquehanna River Basin Commission was created as an independent agency by a federal-interstate compact* among the states of Maryland, New York, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and the federal government. In creating the Commission, the Congress and state legislatures formally recognized the water resources of the Susquehanna River Basin as a regional asset vested with local, state, and national interests for which all the parties share responsibility. As the single federal-interstate water resources agency with basinwide authority, the Commission's goal is to coordinate the planning, conservation, management, utilization, development and control of basin water resources among the public and private sectors.

**Statutory Citations: Federal - Pub. L. 91-575, 84 Stat. 1509 (December 1970); Maryland - Natural Resources Sec. 8-301 (Michie 1974); New York - ECL Sec. 21-1301 (McKinney 1973); and Pennsylvania - 32 P.S. 820.1 (Supp. 1976).*

This report is available on our website (www.srbc.net) by selecting Public Information/Technical Reports. For a CD Rom or for a hard copy, contact the Susquehanna River Basin Commission, 1721 N. Front Street, Harrisburg, Pa. 17102-2391, (717) 238-0423, FAX (717) 238-2436, E-mail: srbc@srbc.net.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
I. INTRODUCTION	7
A. Purpose and Objectives	7
B. Background of Conowingo Dam and Pond	8
C. Conowingo Pond Workgroup	9
II. THE RESOURCES AND USES OF THE CONOWINGO POND	13
A. Fishery Resources	13
B. Hydroelectric Power	13
C. Public Water Supply	14
D. Thermoelectric Power	15
E. Recreational	15
F. Future Project	16
III. EXISTING PROBLEMS, ISSUES, AND OPPORTUNITIES	17
A. Review of Various Interests in Pond's Resources	17
B. Minimum Releases and the 1988 Settlement Agreement	20
C. Low Flow Operations	21
D. Identification of Existing Problems and Issues	23
E. Opportunities for Improvements	25
IV. PLANNING PROCESS AND INITIAL SCREENING	27
A. Hydrologic Model Development and Verification	27
B. Performance Measures	36
C. Baseline Conditions	37
D. Operational Alternatives Considered	38
E. Computer-Aided Negotiations	40
F. Model Results	42
V. PREFERRED OPERATION ALTERNATIVES	45
A. Description of Preferred Alternatives	45
B. Evaluation of Preferred Alternatives	47
C. Conclusions and Refinement of Alternatives	49
VI. DISCUSSION OF SELECTED ALTERNATIVE	53
VII. CONOWINGO POND MANAGEMENT PLAN	57
A. Description of the Conowingo Pond Management Plan	57
B. Implementation of the Management Plan	57
C. Operation of the Management Plan	58
D. Related Actions by the Susquehanna River Basin Commission	60
E. Long-Term Benefits	61
VIII. SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS AND ACTIONS	63

FIGURES

Figure I-1. Location of Conowingo Dam and Pond	9
Figure I-2. Facilities in the Vicinity of the Conowingo Pond.....	10
Figure III-1. Important Operating Elevations (Conowingo Datum) of Conowingo Pond.....	22
Figure IV-1. Locations of Stream Gages Used in Development of Hydrologic Record	29
Figure IV-2. Schematic of Hydrologic Model Coverage.....	30
Figure IV-3. Schematic of Detailed Model Coverage in Lower Susquehanna Basin	31
Figure IV-4. Modeled vs. Historic Marietta Flow in 2002	34
Figure IV-5. Modeled vs. Historic Conowingo Pond Stages in 2002	35
Figure IV-6. Modeled vs. Historic Conowingo Dam Flow Releases in 2002	35

TABLES

Table IV-1. Stream Gages Used in Development of the Hydrologic Record.....	28
Table IV-2. Alternative Operational Plans Evaluated During Computer-Aided Negotiations ...	41
Table V-1. Selected Results of the Final Four Alternatives	50

APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Conowingo Pond Workgroup Input	65
Appendix 2. Model Development and Verification.....	91
Appendix 3. Model Results and Evaluation of Alternatives.....	115

Cover Photo (courtesy of Exelon Generation, Inc.): Looking north at Conowingo dam with the pond in the upper portion of the photo.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Conowingo Pond Workgroup members were instrumental in the successful development of the selected management plan for the pond and preparation of the Workgroup's report, which served as the basis for this report by the Susquehanna River Basin Commission. The actively involved members, whose efforts were both important and appreciated, are listed below.

Chester Water Authority – Brian MacEwen, Pat Stabler
City of Baltimore – Sam Grant, Ed Huff; Frank Donaldson and Tom DiLego (Patton, Harris, Rust & Associates)
City of Havre de Grace – Donna Costango
Conectiv Mid Merit, LLC – Stu Widom
Exelon Generation, Inc. – Al Ryan, Tracy Siglin
Harford County – Jackie Ludwig
Lancaster County – Mary Frey
Maryland Department of the Environment –Mat Pajerowski*, John Smith
Maryland Department of Natural Resources – Rich McLean, Steve Schreiner
(Versar, Inc.)
Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection – Bill Gast
Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission – Mike Hendricks
PPL Corporation – Chris Porse
Safe Harbor Water Power Corporation – Dick Johnson
Susquehanna Electric – Don Baldwin, Ron Smith
Susquehanna River Basin Commission – Tom Beauduy, Drew Dehoff, Dave Ladd
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers – Bill Haines
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service – Dick St. Pierre
York County – John Seitz

*Served as chairman of the Workgroup.

The Susquehanna River Basin Commission retained the services of HydroLogics, Inc. of Columbia, Maryland, for assistance in the technical, water management, and policy aspects of the study that served as the basis for this report. The efforts of Dan Sheer and Dean Randall were critical to the success of the Workgroup, and their contributions to the process and to this report were invaluable.

