



United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

300 Westgate Center Drive
Hadley, MA 01035-9589



November 12, 2015

MEMORANDUM

To: Susquehanna River Coordinator, Maryland Fishery Resources Office, Annapolis, MD
Attention: Sheila Eyler, Fish and Wildlife Biologist

From: Jesus Morales, Hydraulic Engineer, Fish Passage Engineering

Subject: Inspection of Fishways at Conowingo Hydroelectric Project (FERC #405) on May 7, 2015

A seasonal inspection of the fish passage facilities at the Conowingo Hydroelectric Project (Project) was performed at 1:30 pm on Thursday, 05/07/2015. The Project is owned and operated by the Exelon Corporation (Exelon). The USFWS (Service) review team was led by Sheila Eyler. The tour was led by licensee's representative and General Manager, Archie Gleason. Consultants from Normandeau Associates, Inc. (NA) and personnel from the Maryland Department of Natural Resources were also present. On the day of the inspection the river flow was around 27,000 cfs.

The Project is currently under re-licensing. Overall fish passage issues have been previously identified by the Service (per the November 2012 MEMO with subject: Inspection of Fishways at Conowingo Hydroelectric Project (FERC #405) on Oct. 15, 2012 in support of re-licensing activities). Negotiations for future fish passage efforts and infrastructures are still on going. This inspection memo focuses solely on existing facilities and their operations. No significant changes have followed after the 2012, 2013 and 2014 inspections.

This site review included both upstream passage facilities, the east fish lift (EFL) and the west fish lift (WFL). There are no downstream facilities at the Project; historically, downstream movement has occurred through the turbines. There are no upstream eel passes at this barrier. One cycle for each of the lifts was operated during the site visit.

East Fish Lift (Upstream Passage):

- Attraction flows - The EFL attraction water is fed through the western-most spillway bay. A significant fraction of this flow is passed down the ogee crest, through a stilling basin and into the entrance channel. The stilling basin is still not capable of dissipating enough energy to effectively allow the discharge of the original design flow (i.e., 900 cfs). The aeration and turbulence observed have been shown to dissuade shad movement. Discussions with Exelon's operators suggest that the current entrance channel design is incapable of passing more than 300 cfs without significant turbulence and aeration. Delays and ineffective passage due to insufficient attraction water are a concern.



- Water velocities - Velocities within the fishway do not seem to be meeting the Service criterion on fish lifts (see appendix for the *Fish Lift Reference Card*). Even though velocity measurements were not taken during the inspection, water velocities at the crowding area seem to be lower than desired. The Service recognizes that at 300cfs Exelon is providing 1/3 of the original design flow (i.e., 900 cfs) and causing the water to move slower than originally intended. The Service recommends that water velocities be physically measured to ensure that optimum conditions within the fishway are persistent during all operational flows.
- Hydraulic conditions – Large flow eddies (>1-foot) and other undesirable hydraulics could be observed within the fish lift channel. These adverse conditions can potentially impact the migratory cues and orientation of available fish, causing delays and/or fallbacks for those fish that have found the entrance but have yet to be crowded into the hopper.
- Entrance conditions - During the day of the inspection operating gate C was set at an elevation that resulted in a head differential between the entrance channel and the tailwater larger than the recommended drop by the Service criterion (the Service recommends to maintain a head differential of 4 to 6 inches at the entrance of any fishway). A hydraulic drop larger than 6 inches could result in a velocity barrier for weaker swimmers like river herring. There was no easy way for the Service inspector to read the staff gage on the external side of the fishway. The Service recommends that the head differential between water surface inside the fishway and water surface in the tailrace be continuously collected and recorded.
- Operations and maintenance - Any changes to current operations and hydraulic conditions in the fishways need to be discussed and agreed upon with representatives from the Service. The Service recommends setting up annual postmortem meetings at the end of each migration season to discuss lessons learned or alternative approaches on the operations of all fish passage facilities at the Project.

West Fish Lift (Upstream Passage):

- Insufficient water inside the hopper - As soon as the hopper starts lifting, water spills out of the bucket, leaving the bucket with what appears to be less than the USFWS criteria of 0.1 cubic feet per pound of fish. A high level of stress to fish is of concern.
- Risk for injury - Because of the way the fish are being transferred from the bucket into the holding tank, the Service is concerned about potential injuries to the fish caused by the rusty square-shaped gate at the bottom of the bucket.
- Hydraulic conditions - Undesirable hydraulics at the 90-degree turn upstream from the entrance gate are causing the fish that enter the fishway to be delayed by having them face the wrong direction for extended periods of time.

Thank you for the opportunity to participate in this review. For questions please contact Jesus at 413-253-8206.

